

California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2009/2010
Low-Mod Fund Planning and Administration Costs Compared to Total Expenditures
Listed by Agency in Alphabetical Order

Exhibit C-9

Page 1 of 12

3/31/2011

Redevelopment Agency	Agency Total Expenditures	Planning & Administration Total Costs	Percent of Planning & Administration Compared to Total Expenditures
AGOURA HILLS	\$1,592,881	\$749,342	47.0 %
ALAMEDA CITY	\$1,736,480	\$352,638	20.3 %
ALAMEDA COUNTY	\$364,586	\$188,237	51.6 %
ALBANY	\$398,276	\$374,727	94.1 %
ALHAMBRA	\$914,725	\$322,877	35.3 %
ANAHEIM	\$19,085,000	\$1,957,000	10.3 %
ANDERSON	\$130,398	\$61,014	46.8 %
ANTIOCH	\$1,574,430	\$223,783	14.2 %
APPLE VALLEY	\$426,948	\$38,176	8.9 %
ARCADIA	\$684,072	\$132,982	19.4 %
ARCATA	\$161,382	\$146,382	90.7 %
ARROYO GRANDE	\$1,241,511	\$132,819	10.7 %
ARTESIA	\$2,195,755	\$100,810	4.6 %
ARVIN	\$1,177,164	\$89,926	7.6 %
ATASCADERO	\$1,377,946	\$42,624	3.1 %
ATWATER	\$365,715	\$78,030	21.3 %
AUBURN	\$10,765	\$10,765	100.0 %
AVALON	\$723,782	\$200,787	27.7 %
AVENAL	\$225,964	\$0	0.0 %
AZUSA	\$8,443,821	\$84,066	1.0 %
BAKERSFIELD	\$5,804,488	\$30,148	0.5 %
BALDWIN PARK	\$1,439,580	\$105,038	7.3 %
BANNING	\$2,619,236	\$131,490	5.0 %
BARSTOW	\$1,251,110	\$109,458	8.7 %
BELL	\$1,987,608	\$220,347	11.1 %
BELLFLOWER	\$748,923	\$73,265	9.8 %
BELMONT	\$3,585,458	\$565,013	15.8 %
BERKELEY	\$359,229	\$0	0.0 %
BIG BEAR LAKE	\$2,156,324	\$794,779	36.9 %
BLYTHE	\$1,345,782	\$0	0.0 %
BRAWLEY	\$297,776	\$297,776	100.0 %
BREA	\$6,215,712	\$992,160	16.0 %

California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2009/2010
Low-Mod Fund Planning and Administration Costs Compared to Total Expenditures
Listed by Agency in Alphabetical Order

Exhibit C-9

Page 2 of 12

3/31/2011

Redevelopment Agency	Agency Total Expenditures	Planning & Administration Total Costs	Percent of Planning & Administration Compared to Total Expenditures
BRENTWOOD	\$502,260	\$191,869	38.2 %
BRISBANE	\$548,307	\$117,325	21.4 %
BUENA PARK	\$16,384,041	\$472,243	2.9 %
BURBANK	\$6,010,472	\$1,484,846	24.7 %
CALEXICO	\$1,500,693	\$813,221	54.2 %
CALIFORNIA CITY	\$3,044,475	\$10,772	0.4 %
CALIMESA	\$457,437	\$72,729	15.9 %
CALIPATRIA	\$34,966	\$9,022	25.8 %
CAMARILLO	\$2,400,769	\$15,312	0.6 %
CAMPBELL	\$4,294,883	\$214,634	5.0 %
CAPITOLA	\$302,603	\$122,367	40.4 %
CARLSBAD	\$1,128,071	\$114,071	10.1 %
CARSON	\$18,046,683	\$1,393,776	7.7 %
CATHEDRAL CITY	\$17,224,739	\$1,483,724	8.6 %
CERES	\$1,135,168	\$229,465	20.2 %
CERRITOS	\$2,266,265	\$1,751,855	77.3 %
CHICO	\$9,017,114	\$560,314	6.2 %
CHINO	\$1,909,653	\$909,114	47.6 %
CHOWCHILLA	\$296,716	\$273,516	92.2 %
CHULA VISTA	\$4,863,271	\$565,365	11.6 %
CITRUS HEIGHTS	\$250,865	\$130,160	51.9 %
CLAREMONT	\$534,464	\$237,738	44.5 %
CLAYTON	\$1,418,945	\$36,573	2.6 %
CLOVERDALE	\$577,491	\$280,767	48.6 %
CLOVIS	\$3,921,732	\$492,969	12.6 %
COACHELLA	\$1,602,963	\$706,740	44.1 %
COALINGA	\$1,386,846	\$116,019	8.4 %
COLTON	\$3,726,902	\$142,778	3.8 %
COMMERCE	\$1,380,713	\$102,479	7.4 %
COMPTON	\$1,261,837	\$976,157	77.4 %
CONCORD	\$5,047,979	\$466,329	9.2 %
CONTRA COSTA COUNTY	\$5,861,026	\$375,491	6.4 %

California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2009/2010
Low-Mod Fund Planning and Administration Costs Compared to Total Expenditures
Listed by Agency in Alphabetical Order

Exhibit C-9

Page 3 of 12

3/31/2011

Redevelopment Agency	Agency Total Expenditures	Planning & Administration Total Costs	Percent of Planning & Administration Compared to Total Expenditures
CORCORAN	\$385,815	\$366,660	95.0 %
CORONA	\$4,375,403	\$978,801	22.4 %
CORONADO	\$4,864,410	\$300,948	6.2 %
COSTA MESA	\$565,355	\$408,763	72.3 %
COTATI	\$489,750	\$143,569	29.3 %
COVINA	\$6,411,513	\$665,558	10.4 %
CRESCENT CITY	\$307,396	\$0	0.0 %
CUDAHY	\$462,983	\$63,094	13.6 %
CULVER CITY	\$14,447,243	\$2,230,568	15.4 %
CYPRESS	\$1,407,455	\$152,056	10.8 %
DALY CITY	\$557,893	\$29,894	5.4 %
DANVILLE	\$952,957	\$11,071	1.2 %
DAVIS	\$1,223,933	\$497,582	40.7 %
DEL REY OAKS	\$555,807	\$555,807	100.0 %
DELANO	\$1,250,863	\$335,944	26.9 %
DESERT HOT SPRINGS	\$7,816,317	\$761,609	9.7 %
DINUBA	\$2,557,901	\$53,618	2.1 %
DIXON	\$738,272	\$76,047	10.3 %
DOWNEY	\$1,895,409	\$109,298	5.8 %
DUARTE	\$207,088	\$197,817	95.5 %
EAST PALO ALTO	\$3,567,098	\$312,106	8.7 %
EL CAJON	\$1,843,191	\$557,923	30.3 %
EL CENTRO	\$1,305,852	\$397,608	30.4 %
EL CERRITO	\$2,789,159	\$332,165	11.9 %
EL MONTE	\$1,966,652	\$403,753	20.5 %
EMERYVILLE	\$19,956,180	\$789,634	4.0 %
ESCONDIDO	\$2,206,723	\$434,678	19.7 %
EUREKA	\$2,030,029	\$412,027	20.3 %
EXETER	\$478,078	\$51,719	10.8 %
FAIRFIELD	\$11,274,201	\$1,135,896	10.1 %
FARMERSVILLE	\$322,421	\$0	0.0 %
FILLMORE	\$3,227,884	\$564,517	17.5 %

California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2009/2010
Low-Mod Fund Planning and Administration Costs Compared to Total Expenditures
Listed by Agency in Alphabetical Order

Exhibit C-9

Page 4 of 12

3/31/2011

Redevelopment Agency	Agency Total Expenditures	Planning & Administration Total Costs	Percent of Planning & Administration Compared to Total Expenditures
FIREBAUGH	\$287,354	\$41,583	14.5 %
FOLSOM	\$3,268,715	\$452,964	13.9 %
FONTANA	\$37,654,929	\$852,165	2.3 %
FORT BRAGG	\$1,049,062	\$114,526	10.9 %
FORTUNA	\$232,160	\$51,861	22.3 %
FOSTER CITY	\$6,766,127	\$598,913	8.9 %
FOUNTAIN VALLEY	\$2,873,026	\$32,635	1.1 %
FOWLER	\$435,434	\$0	0.0 %
FREMONT	\$4,720,787	\$1,123,883	23.8 %
FRESNO CITY	\$8,061,338	\$285,715	3.5 %
FULLERTON	\$1,177,941	\$1,052,900	89.4 %
GALT	\$452,799	\$414,949	91.6 %
GARDEN GROVE	\$3,360,678	\$1,199,215	35.7 %
GLENDALE	\$14,320,696	\$1,339,779	9.4 %
GLENDORA	\$4,171,113	\$3,279,228	78.6 %
GOLETA	\$718,309	\$103,757	14.4 %
GONZALES	\$484,790	\$26,542	5.5 %
GRAND TERRACE	\$1,930,555	\$264,912	13.7 %
GRASS VALLEY	\$408,431	\$108,431	26.5 %
GREENFIELD	\$631,337	\$173,215	27.4 %
GROVER BEACH	\$779,362	\$53,212	6.8 %
GUADALUPE	\$272,159	\$227,951	83.8 %
HANFORD	\$326,530	\$302,297	92.6 %
HAWAIIAN GARDENS	\$247,757	\$44,598	18.0 %
HAWTHORNE	\$3,952,253	\$33,648	0.9 %
HAYWARD	\$6,612,984	\$411,640	6.2 %
HEALDSBURG	\$2,148,328	\$401,690	18.7 %
HEMET	\$4,413,490	\$1,254,943	28.4 %
HERCULES	\$4,477,507	\$1,041,080	23.3 %
HESPERIA	\$6,333,399	\$498,978	7.9 %
HIGHLAND	\$2,052,760	\$370,830	18.1 %
HOLLISTER	\$3,442,460	\$977,924	28.4 %

California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2009/2010
Low-Mod Fund Planning and Administration Costs Compared to Total Expenditures
Listed by Agency in Alphabetical Order

Exhibit C-9

Page 5 of 12

3/31/2011

Redevelopment Agency	Agency Total Expenditures	Planning & Administration Total Costs	Percent of Planning & Administration Compared to Total Expenditures
HOLTVILLE	\$99,623	\$99,623	100.0 %
HUGHSON	\$147,888	\$107,352	72.6 %
HUNTINGTON BEACH	\$4,048,201	\$777,251	19.2 %
HUNTINGTON PARK	\$1,910,678	\$651,989	34.1 %
HURON	\$201,241	\$0	0.0 %
IMPERIAL BEACH	\$1,542,830	\$1,233,139	79.9 %
IMPERIAL CITY	\$781,972	\$47,456	6.1 %
INDIAN WELLS	\$9,100,210	\$2,275,039	25.0 %
INDIO	\$5,771,786	\$579,091	10.0 %
INDUSTRY	\$18,223,502	\$0	0.0 %
INGLEWOOD	\$5,075,479	\$506,213	10.0 %
INLAND VALLEY	\$8,284,284	\$40,154	0.5 %
IRWINDALE	\$9,280,663	\$649,240	7.0 %
KERMAN	\$425,890	\$72,146	16.9 %
KING CITY	\$683,270	\$710	0.1 %
KINGSBURG	\$4,278	\$4,278	100.0 %
LA HABRA	\$288,196	\$238,196	82.7 %
LA MESA	\$2,165,415	\$53,353	2.5 %
LA MIRADA	\$2,142,354	\$363,288	17.0 %
LA PALMA	\$557,330	\$280,551	50.3 %
LA PUENTE	\$202,825	\$202,825	100.0 %
LA QUINTA	\$12,070,589	\$2,239,765	18.6 %
LA VERNE	\$4,081,729	\$931,220	22.8 %
LAFAYETTE	\$1,390,498	\$95,496	6.9 %
LAKE COUNTY	\$642,077	\$39,288	6.1 %
LAKE ELSINORE	\$17,730,204	\$100,000	0.6 %
LAKE FOREST	\$908,961	\$148,825	16.4 %
LAKEPORT	\$591,149	\$185,569	31.4 %
LAKEWOOD	\$796,446	\$369,844	46.4 %
LANCASTER	\$37,742,453	\$3,245,191	8.6 %
LAWNDALE	\$818,752	\$2,799	0.3 %
LEMON GROVE	\$1,996,533	\$32,966	1.7 %

California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2009/2010
Low-Mod Fund Planning and Administration Costs Compared to Total Expenditures
Listed by Agency in Alphabetical Order

Exhibit C-9

Page 6 of 12

3/31/2011

Redevelopment Agency	Agency Total Expenditures	Planning & Administration Total Costs	Percent of Planning & Administration Compared to Total Expenditures
LEMOORE	\$1,003,843	\$195,183	19.4 %
LINCOLN	\$1,215,196	\$143,212	11.8 %
LINDSAY	\$253,946	\$50	0.0 %
LIVERMORE	\$362,900	\$362,900	100.0 %
LIVINGSTON	\$2,876	\$2,876	100.0 %
LOMA LINDA	\$7,424,644	\$924,021	12.4 %
LOMPOC	\$1,464,655	\$198,430	13.5 %
LONG BEACH	\$16,352,855	\$4,298,840	26.3 %
LOS ANGELES CITY	\$69,709,000	\$7,374,000	10.6 %
LOS ANGELES COUNTY	\$897,782	\$269,813	30.1 %
LOS BANOS	\$2,037,254	\$106,831	5.2 %
LOS GATOS	\$5,362,334	\$415,563	7.7 %
LYNWOOD	\$5,043,623	\$555,915	11.0 %
MADERA CITY	\$3,005,685	\$374,338	12.5 %
MANTECA	\$3,089,224	\$528,985	17.1 %
MARCH AIRFORCE BASE	\$120,344	\$71,168	59.1 %
MARIN COUNTY	\$340,671	\$0	0.0 %
MARINA	\$956,797	\$317,837	33.2 %
MARYSVILLE	\$95,207	\$95,207	100.0 %
MAYWOOD	\$1,674,028	\$18,771	1.1 %
MENDOTA	\$329,969	\$329,847	100.0 %
MENLO PARK	\$1,707,201	\$633,473	37.1 %
MERCED CITY	\$2,324,070	\$554,697	23.9 %
MILLBRAE	\$2,101,711	\$213,247	10.1 %
MILPITAS	\$19,629,759	\$1,503,635	7.7 %
MISSION VIEJO	\$2,155,059	\$144,900	6.7 %
MODESTO	\$1,486,287	\$109,163	7.3 %
MONROVIA	\$1,936,564	\$843,661	43.6 %
MONTCLAIR	\$3,666,194	\$296,737	8.1 %
MONTEBELLO	\$1,758,247	\$87,585	5.0 %
MONTEREY CITY	\$2,368,901	\$480,117	20.3 %
MONTEREY COUNTY	\$1,383,242	\$404,466	29.2 %

California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2009/2010
Low-Mod Fund Planning and Administration Costs Compared to Total Expenditures
Listed by Agency in Alphabetical Order

Exhibit C-9

Page 7 of 12

3/31/2011

Redevelopment Agency	Agency Total Expenditures	Planning & Administration Total Costs	Percent of Planning & Administration Compared to Total Expenditures
MONTEREY PARK	\$5,323,645	\$766,417	14.4 %
MOORPARK	\$2,009,928	\$378,324	18.8 %
MORENO VALLEY	\$6,394,493	\$897,818	14.0 %
MORGAN HILL	\$8,140,803	\$1,456,134	17.9 %
MOUNTAIN VIEW	\$731,000	\$124,000	17.0 %
MURRIETA	\$2,083,627	\$562,737	27.0 %
NAPA CITY	\$1,099,917	\$0	0.0 %
NATIONAL CITY	\$1,497,108	\$97,081	6.5 %
NEWMAN	\$137,992	\$86,207	62.5 %
NORCO	\$11,319,141	\$458,814	4.1 %
NORWALK	\$959,461	\$114,174	11.9 %
NOVATO	\$2,498,072	\$590,877	23.7 %
OAKDALE	\$597,596	\$122,231	20.5 %
OAKLAND	\$29,026,635	\$3,731,882	12.9 %
OAKLEY	\$397,695	\$104,046	26.2 %
OCEANSIDE	\$2,549,249	\$173,697	6.8 %
OJAI	\$734,442	\$131,337	17.9 %
ONTARIO	\$10,829,865	\$2,152,573	19.9 %
ORANGE CITY	\$3,347,891	\$1,049,718	31.4 %
ORANGE COUNTY	\$4,244,147	\$323,864	7.6 %
ORANGE COVE	\$89,703	\$11,251	12.5 %
OROVILLE	\$1,538,466	\$240,746	15.6 %
OXNARD	\$2,953,332	\$1,008,097	34.1 %
PACIFICA	\$17,930	\$0	0.0 %
PALM DESERT	\$36,014,480	\$2,039,231	5.7 %
PALM SPRINGS	\$5,747,473	\$295,690	5.1 %
PALMDALE	\$18,458,309	\$2,358,575	12.8 %
PARADISE	\$177,043	\$41,579	23.5 %
PARAMOUNT	\$1,591,166	\$235,334	14.8 %
PARLIER	\$337,055	\$138,901	41.2 %
PASADENA	\$4,257,994	\$1,091,932	25.6 %
PASO ROBLES	\$3,246,305	\$749,610	23.1 %

California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2009/2010
Low-Mod Fund Planning and Administration Costs Compared to Total Expenditures
Listed by Agency in Alphabetical Order

Exhibit C-9

Page 8 of 12

3/31/2011

Redevelopment Agency	Agency Total Expenditures	Planning & Administration Total Costs	Percent of Planning & Administration Compared to Total Expenditures
PERRIS	\$11,435,596	\$1,037,099	9.1 %
PETALUMA	\$2,276,676	\$483,786	21.2 %
PICO RIVERA	\$2,411,786	\$66,308	2.7 %
PINOLE	\$5,660,337	\$390,550	6.9 %
PISMO BEACH	\$1,604,242	\$70,606	4.4 %
PITTSBURG	\$4,136,321	\$1,646,049	39.8 %
PLACENTIA	\$996,785	\$225,206	22.6 %
PLACER COUNTY	\$3,085,464	\$606,823	19.7 %
PLEASANT HILL	\$3,722,338	\$373,447	10.0 %
POMONA	\$9,894,694	\$106,936	1.1 %
PORT HUENEME	\$2,695,651	\$564,838	21.0 %
PORTERVILLE	\$249,113	\$72,224	29.0 %
POWAY	\$9,920,274	\$1,070,596	10.8 %
RANCHO CORDOVA	\$968,111	\$70,480	7.3 %
RANCHO CUCAMONGA	\$48,323,127	\$565,148	1.2 %
RANCHO MIRAGE	\$17,503,280	\$122,235	0.7 %
RANCHO PALOS VERDES	\$3,154,601	\$46,055	1.5 %
REDDING	\$4,893,477	\$759,202	15.5 %
REDLANDS	\$4,146,618	\$755,893	18.2 %
REDONDO BEACH	\$1,402,400	\$279,057	19.9 %
REDWOOD CITY	\$4,968,895	\$597,350	12.0 %
REEDLEY	\$276,282	\$214,425	77.6 %
RIALTO	\$11,182,232	\$542,843	4.9 %
RICHMOND	\$4,780,730	\$1,450,151	30.3 %
RIDGECREST	\$911,329	\$307,881	33.8 %
RIO VISTA	\$120,274	\$79,910	66.4 %
RIPON	\$3,792,320	\$262,671	6.9 %
RIVERBANK	\$2,703,473	\$53,013	2.0 %
RIVERSIDE CITY	\$24,878,005	\$1,604,388	6.4 %
RIVERSIDE COUNTY	\$25,772,067	\$3,543,913	13.8 %
ROCKLIN	\$2,585,760	\$441,436	17.1 %
ROHNERT PARK	\$3,340,044	\$60,361	1.8 %

California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2009/2010
Low-Mod Fund Planning and Administration Costs Compared to Total Expenditures
Listed by Agency in Alphabetical Order

Exhibit C-9

Page 9 of 12

3/31/2011

Redevelopment Agency	Agency Total Expenditures	Planning & Administration Total Costs	Percent of Planning & Administration Compared to Total Expenditures
ROSEMEAD	\$439,359	\$189,359	43.1 %
ROSEVILLE	\$2,474,704	\$149,908	6.1 %
SACRAMENTO CITY	\$21,332,477	\$1,399,910	6.6 %
SACRAMENTO COUNTY	\$9,039,893	\$276,572	3.1 %
SALINAS	\$2,205,865	\$296,783	13.5 %
SAN BERNARDINO CITY	\$7,163,656	\$1,437,362	20.1 %
SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY	\$1,538,132	\$343,697	22.3 %
SAN BRUNO	\$1,222,539	\$541,499	44.3 %
SAN BUENAVENTURA	\$1,373,878	\$200,104	14.6 %
SAN CARLOS	\$1,687,209	\$474,937	28.1 %
SAN CLEMENTE	\$1,308,609	\$235,148	18.0 %
SAN DIEGO CITY	\$68,367,070	\$2,873,832	4.2 %
SAN DIEGO COUNTY	\$109,635	\$16,870	15.4 %
SAN DIMAS	\$5,748,861	\$238,383	4.1 %
SAN FERNANDO	\$3,460,004	\$1,232,365	35.6 %
SAN FRANCISCO	\$97,601,442	\$17,926,403	18.4 %
SAN GABRIEL	\$50,390	\$50,390	100.0 %
SAN JACINTO	\$1,421,191	\$287,653	20.2 %
SAN JOAQUIN CITY	\$55,498	\$43,583	78.5 %
SAN JOSE	\$155,105,255	\$9,558,169	6.2 %
SAN JUAN CAPISTRANO	\$4,947,808	\$166,664	3.4 %
SAN LEANDRO	\$3,522,520	\$618,746	17.6 %
SAN MARCOS	\$39,956,959	\$1,079,309	2.7 %
SAN MATEO CITY	\$1,841,513	\$893,272	48.5 %
SAN PABLO	\$4,015,236	\$167,839	4.2 %
SAN RAFAEL	\$1,064,467	\$234,589	22.0 %
SAN RAMON	\$4,073,163	\$1,018,078	25.0 %
SAND CITY	\$1,393,092	\$0	0.0 %
SANGER	\$235,963	\$92,829	39.3 %
SANTA ANA	\$14,092,066	\$2,106,343	14.9 %
SANTA BARBARA CITY	\$4,189,648	\$917,603	21.9 %
SANTA BARBARA COUNTY	\$128,208	\$25,930	20.2 %

California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2009/2010
Low-Mod Fund Planning and Administration Costs Compared to Total Expenditures
Listed by Agency in Alphabetical Order

Exhibit C-9

Page 10 of 12

3/31/2011

Redevelopment Agency	Agency Total Expenditures	Planning & Administration Total Costs	Percent of Planning & Administration Compared to Total Expenditures
SANTA CLARA CITY	\$6,418,666	\$1,072,963	16.7 %
SANTA CLARITA	\$6,303,402	\$244,992	3.9 %
SANTA CRUZ CITY	\$2,005,957	\$193,500	9.6 %
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY	\$13,855,921	\$1,609,674	11.6 %
SANTA FE SPRINGS	\$5,595,691	\$506,896	9.1 %
SANTA MONICA	\$12,942,184	\$154,029	1.2 %
SANTA PAULA	\$370,715	\$274,244	74.0 %
SANTA ROSA	\$6,322,363	\$371,230	5.9 %
SANTEE	\$6,654,999	\$773,623	11.6 %
SCOTTS VALLEY	\$246,849	\$130,372	52.8 %
SEAL BEACH	\$822,796	\$0	0.0 %
SEASIDE	\$1,212,904	\$206,168	17.0 %
SEBASTOPOL	\$128,913	\$7,344	5.7 %
SELMA	\$128,162	\$5,785	4.5 %
SHAFTER	\$617,909	\$372,902	60.3 %
SHASTA LAKE	\$649,610	\$397,140	61.1 %
SIERRA MADRE	\$155,513	\$155,513	100.0 %
SIGNAL HILL	\$1,664,215	\$256,293	15.4 %
SIMI VALLEY	\$5,850,316	\$1,985,748	33.9 %
SOLANA BEACH	\$22,099	\$22,099	100.0 %
SOLEDAD	\$1,347,998	\$172,550	12.8 %
SONOMA CITY	\$1,016,943	\$1,016,943	100.0 %
SONOMA COUNTY	\$782,768	\$188,882	24.1 %
SONORA	\$581,182	\$43,709	7.5 %
SOUTH EL MONTE	\$1,468,986	\$167,354	11.4 %
SOUTH GATE	\$1,348,652	\$2,738	0.2 %
SOUTH LAKE TAHOE	\$1,284,056	\$0	0.0 %
SOUTH PASADENA	\$130,912	\$91,540	69.9 %
SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO	\$1,652,265	\$1,078,291	65.3 %
STANISLAUS-CERES	\$29,976	\$9,198	30.7 %
STANTON	\$8,199,105	\$80,209	1.0 %
STOCKTON	\$7,756,322	\$439,484	5.7 %

California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2009/2010
Low-Mod Fund Planning and Administration Costs Compared to Total Expenditures
Listed by Agency in Alphabetical Order

Exhibit C-9

Page 11 of 12

3/31/2011

Redevelopment Agency	Agency Total Expenditures	Planning & Administration Total Costs	Percent of Planning & Administration Compared to Total Expenditures
SUISUN CITY	\$12,882,227	\$486,497	3.8 %
TAFT	\$79,926	\$6,630	8.3 %
TEHACHAPI	\$311,653	\$87,197	28.0 %
TEMECULA	\$12,931,872	\$980,243	7.6 %
TEMPLE CITY	\$409,104	\$36,040	8.8 %
THOUSAND OAKS	\$4,623,911	\$828,464	17.9 %
TIBURON	\$2,500	\$2,500	100.0 %
TORRANCE	\$6,084,852	\$4,009	0.1 %
TRACY	\$839,005	\$501,297	59.7 %
TRUCKEE	\$450,472	\$122,072	27.1 %
TULARE CITY	\$992,104	\$482,777	48.7 %
TULARE COUNTY	\$502,068	\$490,099	97.6 %
TURLOCK	\$810,108	\$164,830	20.3 %
TUSTIN	\$29,142,916	\$458,514	1.6 %
TWENTYNINE PALMS	\$61,021	\$34,460	56.5 %
UKIAH	\$1,500,167	\$332,629	22.2 %
UNION CITY	\$7,113,565	\$356,311	5.0 %
UPLAND	\$5,216,654	\$550,415	10.6 %
VACAVILLE	\$7,819,428	\$1,068,375	13.7 %
VALLEJO	\$665,336	\$156,634	23.5 %
VENTURA COUNTY	\$55,888	\$18,838	33.7 %
VICTOR VALLEY	\$8,694,226	\$146,416	1.7 %
VICTORVILLE	\$225,638	\$135,737	60.2 %
VISALIA	\$2,273,919	\$99,163	4.4 %
VISTA	\$9,054,287	\$1,334,589	14.7 %
WALNUT	\$2,179,652	\$1,087	0.0 %
WALNUT CREEK	\$1,089,395	\$228,748	21.0 %
WASCO	\$3,335	\$3,335	100.0 %
WATERFORD	\$65,630	\$2,886	4.4 %
WATSONVILLE	\$3,271,510	\$396,287	12.1 %
WEST COVINA	\$4,026,990	\$1,528,403	38.0 %
WEST HOLLYWOOD	\$262,794	\$24,910	9.5 %

California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2009/2010
Low-Mod Fund Planning and Administration Costs Compared to Total Expenditures
Listed by Agency in Alphabetical Order

Exhibit C-9

Page 12 of 12

3/31/2011

Redevelopment Agency	Agency Total Expenditures	Planning & Administration Total Costs	Percent of Planning & Administration Compared to Total Expenditures
WEST SACRAMENTO	\$5,111,944	\$1,122,975	22.0 %
WESTMINSTER	\$7,073,568	\$1,193,197	16.9 %
WHITTIER	\$6,468,156	\$182,014	2.8 %
WILLITS	\$512,137	\$59,824	11.7 %
WINDSOR/SONOMA	\$344,369	\$51,035	14.8 %
WINTERS	\$1,843,698	\$135,218	7.3 %
WOODLAKE	\$158,517	\$15,800	10.0 %
YORBA LINDA	\$10,628,862	\$557,005	5.2 %
YUBA CITY	\$3,054,043	\$141,485	4.6 %
YUCAIPA	\$160,592	\$148,575	92.5 %
YUCCA VALLEY	\$731,061	\$29,083	4.0 %
Statewide Totals	\$1,841,064,375	\$203,207,120	11.0 %
Agency Count: 363			